Azerbaijan Journal of Mathematics V. 14, No 2, 2024, July ISSN 2218-6816 https://doi.org/10.59849/2218-6816.2024.2.20

The Absence of Positive Global Periodic Solution of a Second-Order Semi Linear Parabolic Equation With Time-Periodic Coefficients

Sh.G. Bagyrov

Abstract. Second order semilinear parabolic equation $\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = div(A(x,t)\nabla u) + h(x,t,u)$ with time-periodic coefficients is considered in domain $\Omega \times (-\infty, +\infty)$, where Ω is the exterior of a compact set in R_x^n . Depending on the behavior of the function h(x,t,u)at infinity, the conditions are found under which the positive periodic solution does not exist.

Key Words and Phrases: semilinear parabolic equation, periodic solution, non-existence of global solutions.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classifications: 35A01, 35K10, 35K58, 35B09, 35B10

1. Introduction

Denote $R^+ = [0; +\infty)$, $B_R = \{x : |x| < R\}$, $B'_R = \{x : |x| > R\}$, $S_R = \{x : |x| = R\}$, $B_{R_1,R_2} = \{x : R_1 < |x| < R_2\}$, $Q_T^{R_1,R_2} = B_{R_1,R_2} \times (0,T)$, $Q_T^{R,\infty} = B'_R \times (0,T)$, $Q_T = \Omega \times (0,T)$, $Q = \Omega \times (-\infty; +\infty)$, where Ω is the exterior of a compact set D in R_x^n containing the origin.

Consider the equation

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = div(A(x,t)\nabla u) + h(x,t,u) \tag{1}$$

in the cylinder Q, where $n \geq 3$, $A(x,t) = (a_{ij}(x,t))_{ij=1}^n$, $h(x,t,u) : \Omega \times (-\infty, +\infty) \times R^+ \to R$, $a_{ij}(x,t)$ are bounded, measurable, T- periodic in t functions, and there exist constants $\nu_1, \nu_2 > 0$ such that

$$\nu_1 |\xi|^2 \le (A\xi, \xi) \le \nu_2 |\xi|^2 \tag{2}$$

http://www.azjm.org

20

© 2010 AZJM All rights reserved.

for every
$$(x,t) \in Q, \xi = (\xi_1, ..., \xi_n) \in R^n$$
. Here $\nabla u = \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_1}, ..., \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_n}\right), A\nabla u = \left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j}\right)_{i=1}^n$, $(A\xi, \eta) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}\xi_i\eta_j$, $\xi = (\xi_1, ..., \xi_n), \eta = (\eta_1..., \eta_n),$
 $div(A\nabla u) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}(a_{ij}(x,t)\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j}).$

We will study the existence of a global positive solution of equation (1). The matters of existence and non-existence of global solutions for different classes of differential equations and inequalities play an important role both in theory and applications, that is why they have always been a cause for constant interest of mathematicians. Interest in such problems arose after Fujita's popular paper [16]. After this work, many authors began to investigate the question of existence of global solutions for various types of equations with nonlinearities of various types.

A lot of works have been dedicated to these matters (see [1,2,8,11,13,17,21,22, 23,25,26,28]). For useful reviews of such works, we refer the readers to the article [24], the monograph [27], and the book [30].

In particular, the existence of solutions to the periodic parabolic equations has also been a study object for many researchers (see [10,14,15,18,19,20,29,31]). One of the earliest works dedicated to periodic parabolic equations was Seidman's [31], which treated the existence of non-trivial periodic solution for the following problem:

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \Delta u + a_0(x,t) |u|^q, (x,t) \in \Omega' \times (0,+\infty), u/_{\partial\Omega'} = 0, \qquad (*)$$

with q = 0, where $a_0(x, t)$ is a periodic in t function and $\Omega' \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a bounded domain. Since then, many authors have considered the problem (*) for q > 0. Beltramo and Hess [10] studied the problem (*) for q = 1 and showed that for specially chosen $a_0(x,t)$ it may have non-trivial periodic solutions. Esteban [14, 15] proved that, for every q > 1 when $n \leq 2$, and for $1 < q < \frac{n}{n-2}$ when n > 2 the problem (*) has positive periodic solutions for any kind of $a_0(x,t) > 0$. He also proved that, for n > 2, $q \geq \frac{n+2}{n-2}$ this problem has no positive periodic solution. In 2004, Quittner [29] proved, with some restrictions on $a_0(x,t)$, that this problem has positive solutions for $1 < q < \frac{n+2}{n-2}$.

In [4], the equation (1) has been considered in Q with nonlinearity $h(x, t, u) = a_0(x,t) |u|^q$, and it was proved that if $a_0(x,t) \ge c |x|^{\sigma}$, then there is no positive solution for $2 + \sigma + (2 - n)(q - 1) \ge 0$. In [5], the equation (1) has been again considered in Q and it was proved that if $a_0(x,t) \ge c |x|^{\sigma} \ln^s |x|$, then there is no positive solution for $2 + \sigma + (2 - n)(q - 1) \ge 0$. In [5], $c |x|^{\sigma} \ln^s |x|$, then there is no positive solution for $2 + \sigma + (2 - n)(q - 1) \ge 0$, $s \in (-\infty, +\infty)$ and for

 $2 + \sigma + (2 - n)(q - 1) = 0$, $s \ge -1$. In [6], the equation (1) was considered with a weight, and in [7] a system of such equations was considered.

In the present article, the nonlinearity has a more general form. It is the kind of nonlinearity that was considered in [12], where the existence of non-negative solutions of the equation $\Delta u + h(x, u) = 0$ in Ω with the condition $u/\partial_{\Omega} \geq 0$ was discussed. In this paper, we obtain similar results for parabolic equations with time-periodic coefficients.

2. Main result and its proof

Before giving a definition for solution, we consider the following function space: $W^{1,1/2}(O_{-}) =$

$$W_2^{-+}(Q_T) = \left\{ u(x,t+T) = u(x,t), u(x,t) \in W_2^{1,0}(Q_T), \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} |k| \int_{\Omega} |u_k(x)|^2 \, dx < \infty \right\},$$

where

$$u_k(x) = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T u(x,t) \exp\left\{-ik\frac{2\pi}{T}t\right\} dt.$$

The norm in this space is defined as follows:

$$\|u\|_{W_2^{1,1/2}(Q_T)}^2 = \|u\|_{L_2(Q_T)}^2 + \|\nabla u\|_{L_2(Q_T)}^2 + \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} |k| \int_{\Omega} |u_k(x)|^2 \, dx.$$

By $\overset{\circ}{W_2}^{1,1/2}(Q_T)$ we mean a completion of $C^{0,\infty}(Q_T)$ with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_{W_2^{1,1/2}(Q_T)}$, where $C^{0,\infty}(Q_T)$ is a set of infinitely differentiable functions on Q, which are T periodic in t and vanish in the vicinity of $\partial\Omega$.

A solution of equation (1) is defined as a function

 $u(x,t) \in W^{1,1/2}_{2,loc}(Q_T) \bigcap L_{\infty,loc}(Q_T)$ satisfying the corresponding integral identity

$$2\pi \sum_{k=-\infty}^{k=+\infty} ik \int_{\Omega} u_k(x)\varphi_{-k}(x)dx + \int_Q (A(x,t)\nabla u, \nabla \varphi)dxdt = \int_Q h(x,t,u)\varphi dxdt$$

for each function $\varphi(x,t) \in \overset{0}{W_2}^{1,1/2}$.

Denote

$$L_0 u \equiv div(A(x,t)\nabla u) - \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}.$$

Consider the linear equation

$$L_0 u + P(x,t)u = 0$$
 in $Q_T^{R,\infty}$, (3)

where

$$P(x,t+T) = P(x,t), P(x,t) \in L_{\infty,loc}(Q_T^{R,\infty}).$$

The following two lemmas are true (see [3]).

Lemma 1. There exists a constant $C_0 > 0$ depending on n, ν_1, ν_2 and not depending on R such that, if $P(x,t) \geq \frac{C_0}{|x|^2}$, then equation (3) has no positive super solution in $Q_T^{R,\infty}$.

Note that if $a_{ij}(x,t) = \delta_{ij}$, then $C_0 = \left(\frac{n-2}{2}\right)^2$ (see [9]).

Lemma 2. Let $n \geq 3$ and $u(x,t) \in W_{2,loc}^{1,1/2}(Q_T^{R,\infty})$ be a continuous and nonnegative function in $\bar{Q}_T^{R,\infty}$ such that $L_0 u \leq 0$ in $Q_T^{R,\infty}$ and u(x,t) > 0 on S_R . Then $u(x,t) \geq \beta_0 |x|^{2-n}, (x,t) \in Q_T^{R,\infty}, \beta_0 = const > 0.$

In this article, the nonlinearity has a more general form, namely, we assume that $h(x, t, u) \ge \tilde{h}(x, u) \ge 0$ for all $(x, t) \in Q$ and $\tilde{h} : \Omega \times R^+ \to R^+$ is a function satisfying the following assumption:

(H):

a) for any $x \in B'_e$

$$\frac{\tilde{h}(x,s_1)}{s_1} \ge \frac{\tilde{h}(x,s_2)}{s_2},$$

if $s_1 \ge s_2 > 0$, b) for any $\tau > 0$,

$$\lim_{|x| \to +\infty} \inf \tilde{h}(x, \tau |x|^{2-n}) |x|^n > C_0,$$

if b) fails, we assume that

b1) there exists $\sigma_1 \in (0, 1)$ such that for any $\tau > 0$

$$\lim_{|x| \to +\infty} \inf \tilde{h}(x,\tau \, |x|^{2-n}) \, |x|^n \, (\ln |x|)^{\sigma_1} > 0,$$

or

b2) there exists $\gamma > 1$ such that for any $\tau > 0, \alpha \ge 0$

$$\lim_{|x| \to +\infty} \inf \tilde{h}(x, \tau |x|^{2-n} (\ln |x|)^{\alpha}) |x|^n (\ln |x|)^{-\alpha\gamma+1} > 0,$$

and

b3) there exists $\sigma_2 > 0$ such that for any $\tau > 0$

$$\lim_{|x| \to +\infty} \inf \frac{\tilde{h}(x, \tau |x|^{2-n} (\ln |x|)^{\sigma_2})}{\tau |x|^{-n} (\ln |x|)^{\sigma_2}} > C_0.$$

The main result is the following theorem.

Theorem 1. Let $n \ge 3$ and A(x,t) satisfy the condition (2). Then under the assumption (H) equation (1) has no positive solution in Q.

Proof. Let equation (1) have a positive solution u(x,t) > 0. Then for u(x,t) in $Q_T^{R,\infty}$ all the conditions of Lemma 2 are satisfied, and, consequently, $u(x,t) \ge \beta_0 |x|^{2-n}$, where R is such that $D \subset B_R(0)$.

First, consider the cases (H) - a, b)

Consider the function $\frac{h(x,t,u)}{u}$. By Lemma 2 and (H) - a, b) for large |x| we obtain

$$\frac{h(x,t,u)}{u} \ge \frac{\tilde{h}(x,u)}{u} \ge \frac{\tilde{h}(x,u)}{u} \ge \frac{\tilde{h}(x,\beta_0 |x|^{2-n})}{\beta_0 |x|^{2-n}} = \frac{1}{|x|^2} \frac{\tilde{h}(x,\beta_0 |x|^{2-n})}{\beta_0 |x|^{-n}} = \frac{1}{|x|^2} \frac{1}{\beta_0} \tilde{h}(x,\beta_0 |x|^{2-n}) |x|^n \ge \frac{C_0 + \varepsilon}{\beta_0} \frac{1}{|x|^2} > \frac{C_0 + \varepsilon}{|x|^2}.$$

Using this inequality, we get

$$0 = L_0 u + h(x, t, u) \ge L_0 u + \frac{h(x, t, u)}{u} u \ge L_0 u + \frac{C_0 + \varepsilon}{|x|^2} u.$$

And this contradicts the assertion of Lemma 1.

Let b) not be fulfilled, but b1) and also b3) be fulfilled. Again, suppose that u(x,t) is a positive solution to equation (1). Then, by Lemma 2, $u(x,t) \ge \beta_0 |x|^{2-n}$. Using b1), for large |x| we get

$$0 = L_0 u + h(x, t, u) = L_0 u + \frac{h(x, t, u)}{u} u \ge L_0 u + \frac{\tilde{h}(x, u)}{u} u \ge$$
$$\ge L_0 u + \frac{\tilde{h}(x, \beta_0 |x|^{2-n})}{\beta_0 |x|^{2-n}} u \ge L_0 u + \frac{\varepsilon |x|^{-n} \ln^{-\sigma_1} |x|}{\beta_0 |x|^{2-n}} u =$$
$$= L_0 u + \frac{C}{|x|^2 \ln^{\sigma_1} |x|} u.$$

Consider the equation

$$L_0 u + \frac{C}{|x|^2 \ln^{\sigma_1} |x|} u = 0 \text{ in } Q_T^{R_0, \infty}, \tag{4}$$

where $R_0 > e$.

Now let us show that the equation (4) has a positive solution v(x,t) in $Q_T^{R_0,\infty}$. To do this, consider the following problem in $Q_T^{R_0,\infty}$:

$$L_0 v + \frac{C}{|x|^2 \ln^{\sigma_1} |x|} v = 0,$$
(5)

$$v|_{|x|=R_0} = 1, \ v|_{|x|=R} = 0, \ v(x,t+T) = v(x,t).$$
 (6)

It is known the that problem (5), (6) has solutions $v_R(x,t)$. Let's prove that $0 \le v_R \le 1$. First let's prove that $v_R \le 1$. Consider the function $\psi(x,t) = (v_R - 1)^+ = \max_{Q_T^{R_0,R}} \{v_R - 1, 0\}$. Taking the test function $\psi(x,t)$ in the definition of the solution, we obtain

$$2\pi \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} (ik) \int_{B_{R_0,R}} v_{R_k} \psi_{-k} dx + \int_{\sup p\psi} (A\nabla v_R, \nabla v_R) dx dt = \int_{\sup p\psi} \frac{C}{|x|^2 \ln^{\sigma_1} |x|} v_R (v_R - 1) dx dt.$$

It is easy to show that the first term on the left-hand side is equal to zero. Then, using (2) and Hardy's inequalities, we obtain

$$\nu_1 \int_{\sup p\psi} |\nabla v_R|^2 dx dt + \int_{\sup p\psi} \frac{C}{|x|^2 \ln^{\sigma_1} |x|} v_R dx dt \le$$
$$\le \int_{\sup p\psi} \frac{C}{|x|^2 \ln^{\sigma_1} |x|} v_R^2 dx dt \le C_1 \int_{\sup p\psi} |\nabla v_R|^2 dx dt.$$

Take ε such that $C_1 < \nu_1$. As a result, we get

$$(\nu_1 - C_1) \int_{\sup p\psi} |\nabla v_R|^2 \, dx dt + \int_{\sup p\psi} \frac{C}{|x|^2 \ln^{\sigma_1} |x|} v_R dx dt \le 0.$$

Since all integrals in this inequality are positive, this is possible only if $\sup p\psi = 0$. This means that it really is $v_R \leq 1$. Similarly, we can show that $v_R \geq 0$.

Since $0 \le v_R \le 1$ for each R and v_R is a solution to problem (5),(6), in each compact set, the sequence of functions v_R is Hölder continuous and uniformly

bounded. Then, by the Arzela theorem, in each compact set, the sequence of functions v_R converges uniformly to some function v(x,t), which is a positive solution of equation (3) in $Q_T^{R_0,\infty}$.

Let v(x,t) be a positive solution of equation (3). In the definition of the solution, we take a test function $\varphi(x,t)$ such that $0 \leq \varphi(x,t) = \varphi(x) \in C^{\infty}$, $|\nabla \varphi|^2 \leq \frac{C_2}{|x|^2}, \ \varphi(x) = 0$ for $|x| \leq R_0, \ |x| > 2\rho$ and $\varphi(x) = 1$ for $2R_0 \leq |x| \leq \rho$. Then we get the following integral equality:

$$\int_{Q_T^{R_0,2\rho}} \frac{C}{|x|^2 \ln^{\sigma_1} |x|} v\varphi dx dt = \int_{Q_T^{R_0,2\rho}} (A\nabla v, \nabla \varphi) dx dt.$$
(7)

Given that $v(x,t) \geq \beta_0 |x|^{2-n}$, we estimate the left-hand side of (7) from below, and the right-hand side from above:

$$C \int_{Q_T^{R_0,2\rho}} \frac{v\varphi}{|x|^2 \ln^{\sigma_1} |x|} dx dt \ge C_2 \int_{Q_T^{2R_0,\rho}} \frac{\beta_0 |x|^{2-n}}{|x|^2 \ln^{\sigma_1} |x|} dx dt = C_3 \int_{2R_0}^{\rho} \frac{dr}{r \ln^{\sigma_1} r} = C_3 \ln^{-\sigma_1+1} r \Big|_{2R_0}^{\rho} \ge C_3 \ln^{1-\sigma_1} \rho - C_3 \ln^{1-\sigma_1} 2R_0 = C_3 \ln^{1-\sigma_1} \rho \left(1 - \frac{\ln^{1-\sigma_1} 2R_0}{\ln^{1-\sigma_1} \rho}\right) \ge C_4 \ln^{1-\sigma_1} \rho,$$
(8)

$$\int_{Q_T^{R_0,2\rho}} (A\nabla v, \nabla \varphi) dx dt = \int_{Q_T^{R_0,2R_0}} \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x,t) \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_i} dx dt + \\
+ \int_{Q_T^{\rho,2\rho}} \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x,t) \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_i} dx dt \leq \\
\leq C_5 + C_6 \left(\int_{Q_T^{\rho,2\rho}} |\nabla v|^2 dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\rho < |x| < 2\rho} |\nabla \varphi|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \\
\leq C_5 + C_7 \left(\int_{Q_T^{\rho,2\rho}} |\nabla v|^2 dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\rho < |x| < 2\rho} \frac{1}{|x|^2} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \\
\leq C_5 + C_8 \rho^{\frac{n-2}{2}} \left(\int_{Q_T^{\rho,2\rho}} |\nabla v|^2 dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$
(9)

Using the Caccioppoli and Harnack inequalities, we estimate the last integral as follows:

$$\left(\int_{Q_T^{\rho,2\rho}} |\nabla v|^2 \, dx dt\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \le C_9 \left(\int_{Q_T^{\rho/2,3\rho}} \frac{v^2}{\rho^2} dx dt\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \le$$

The Absence of Positive Global Periodic Solution

$$\leq C_{10} \left(\int_{Q_T^{\rho/2,3\rho}} \frac{(\min v)^2}{\rho^2} dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = C_{11} \rho^{\frac{n-2}{2}} \min_{Q_T^{\rho/2,3\rho}} v \,.$$

Taking into account (8) and (9) in (7), we get

$$C_4 \ln^{1-\sigma_1} \rho \le C_5 + C_{11} \rho^{n-2} \min_{Q_T^{\rho/2,3,\rho}} v.$$

From here

$$\min_{Q_T^{\rho/2,3\rho}} v \ge \frac{1}{C_{11}} \rho^{2-n} (C_4 \ln^{1+\sigma_1} \rho - C_5) \ge C_{12} \rho^{2-n} \ln^{1-\sigma_1} \rho.$$

This means that for large |x|

$$v(x,t) \ge C_{12} |x|^{2-n} \ln^{1-\sigma_1} |x|.$$
(10)

Taking into account (10) and taking the test function as in (7), we obtain

$$C \int_{Q_T^{R_0,2\rho}} \frac{v\varphi}{|x|^2 \ln^{\sigma_1} |x|} dx dt \ge C \int_{Q_T^{2R_0,\rho}} \frac{C_{12} |x|^{2-n} \ln^{1-\sigma_1} |x|}{|x|^2 \ln^{\sigma_1} |x|} dx dt =$$
$$= C_{13} \int_{2R_0}^{\rho} \frac{\ln^{1-2\sigma_1} r dr}{r} \ge C_{14} \ln^{2(1-\sigma_1)} \rho.$$
(11)

Similar to the way we got (10), using (11), we get

$$v(x,t) \ge C_{15} |x|^{2-n} \ln^{2(1-\sigma_1)} |x|.$$
(12)

Then by induction we will have that for any $k\in N$

$$v(x,t) \ge C_{16} |x|^{2-n} \ln^{k(1-\sigma_1)} |x|.$$
(13)

Since there is $k_0 \in N$ such that $k(1 - \sigma_1) \ge \sigma_2$ for $k \ge k_0$, from (13) it follows that

$$v(x,t) \ge C_{17} |x|^{2-n} \ln^{\sigma_2} |x|.$$
 (14)

Let $v_R(x,t)$ be a solution to problem (3), (4). Since u(x,t) is a super solution of equation (3), it is easy to see that

$$W_R(x,t) = u(x,t) - C_{18}v_R(x,t)$$

satisfies the inequality

$$L_0 W_R + \frac{C_0}{|x|^2 \ln^{\sigma_1} |x|} W_R \le 0,$$

where $C_{18} = \frac{1}{2} \min_{|x|=R_0} u(x,t), W_R(x,t) > 0$ for $|x| = R_0, W_R(x,t) \le 0$ for |x| = Rand $W_R(x,t+T) = W(x,t).$

Just as we have shown that $0 \le v_R \le 1$, we can also easily show that $W_R \ge 0$ for any R. This means $u(x,t) \ge C_{18}v_R(x,t)$. If we pass to the limit as $R \to +\infty$, we get $u(x,t) \ge C_{18}v(x,t)$. Then it follows from (14) that for large |x|

$$u(x,t) \ge C_{18} |x|^{2-n} \ln^{\sigma_2} |x|.$$
(15)

Since u(x,t) is a positive solution of equation (1), then taking into account (15) and b3), we obtain

$$0 = L_0 u + h(x, t, u) = L_0 u + \frac{h(x, t, u)}{u} u \ge L_0 u + \frac{h(x, u)}{u} u \ge L_0 u + \frac{h(x, u)}{u} u \ge L_0 u + \frac{\tilde{h}(x, C_{18} |x|^{2-n} \ln^{\sigma_2} |x|)}{C_{18} |x|^{2-n} \ln^{\sigma_2} |x|} u \ge L_0 u + \frac{1}{|x|^2} \frac{\tilde{h}(x, C_{18} |x|^{2-n} \ln^{\sigma_2} |x|)}{C_{18} |x|^{-n} \ln^{\sigma_2} |x|} u \ge L_0 u + \frac{C_0 + \varepsilon}{|x|^2} u.$$

So, u(x,t) is a positive solution to the inequality

$$L_0 u + \frac{C_0 + \varepsilon}{\left|x\right|^2} u \le 0 \text{ in } Q_T^{R_0, \infty}.$$

And this contradicts Lemma 1.

Let b1 not be fulfilled, but b2 and b3 be fulfilled.

If u(x,t) is a positive solution to equation (1), then again using Lemma 2 and taking $\alpha = 0, b^2$ we obtain

$$0 = L_0 u + h(x, t, u) = L_0 u + \frac{h(x, t, u)}{u} u \ge L_0 u + \frac{\tilde{h}(x, u)}{u} u \ge L_0 u + \frac{\tilde{h}(x, \beta_0 |x|^{2-n})}{\beta_0 |x|^{2-n}} u \ge L_0 u + C \frac{|x|^{-n} \ln^{-1} |x|}{\beta_0 |x|^{2-n}} u \ge L_0 u + \frac{\beta}{|x|^2 \ln |x|} u \le L_0 u + \frac{\beta}{|x|^2 \ln |x|}$$

where $\beta = \frac{C}{\beta_0}$. Hence,

$$L_0 u + \frac{\beta}{|x|^2 \ln |x|} u \le 0$$

Consider the following equation:

$$L_0 v + \frac{\beta}{|x|^2 \ln |x|} v = 0 \text{ in } Q_T^{R_0,\infty},$$
(16)

where $R_0 > e$

Similar to the previous case, this equation has a positive solution v(x,t) and $u(x,t) \ge C_{18}v(x,t)$.

We get a lower estimate for v(x,t) with large |x|.

Taking the test function $\varphi(x)$ as in the previous case, from the definition of the solution we obtain

$$\int_{Q_T^{R_0,2\rho}} \frac{\beta v \varphi}{|x|^2 \ln |x|} dx dt \le C_{19} + C_{20} \rho^{n-2} \min_{Q_T^{\rho,2\rho}} v.$$
(17)

Switching to polar coordinates, from here we can write the following:

$$\int_{2R_0}^{\rho} \frac{r^{n-3}m_r(v)}{\ln r} dr \le \frac{C_{21}}{\beta} + \frac{C_{22}}{\beta}m_\rho(v)\rho^{n-3}\frac{1}{\ln\rho}\rho\ln\rho,$$
(18)

where by $m_r(v)$ we denote $m_r(v) = \inf_{|x|=r} v$. Also denote

$$f(\rho) = \int_{2R_0}^{\rho} \frac{r^{n-3}m_r(v)}{\ln r} dr.$$

Then (18) can be written in the following form:

$$f(\rho) \le \frac{C_{21}}{\beta} + \frac{C_{22}}{\beta} f'(\rho) \rho \ln \rho.$$

From here

$$f'(\rho) - \frac{\beta}{C_{22}} \frac{1}{\rho \ln \rho} f(\rho) + \frac{C_{21}}{C_{22}} \frac{1}{\rho \ln \rho} \ge 0.$$
(19)

We multiply each side of (19) by the function

$$e^{-\frac{\beta}{C_{22}}\int \frac{d\rho}{\rho \cdot \ln \rho}} = e^{-\frac{\beta}{C_{22}}\ln \ln \rho} = (\ln \rho)^{-\frac{\beta}{C_{22}}}.$$

As a result, we have

$$\left(f(\rho)(\ln \rho)^{-\frac{\beta}{C_{22}}} - \frac{C_{21}}{\beta}(\ln \rho)^{-\frac{\beta}{C_{22}}}\right)' \ge 0.$$
(20)

This means that the function

$$\left(f(\rho) - \frac{C_{21}}{\beta}\right) \left(\ln\rho\right)^{-\frac{\beta}{C_{22}}}$$

is non-decreasing. Then for $\rho > R_1$

$$f(\rho) - \frac{C_{21}}{\beta} \ge (\ln \rho)^{\frac{\beta}{C_{22}}} \left(f(R_1) - \frac{C_{21}}{\beta} \right) (\ln R_1)^{-\frac{\beta}{C_{22}}}$$

where R_1 is such that $f(R_1) - \frac{C_{21}}{\beta} > 0$. Using all this, from (18) we obtain the following inequality:

$$\frac{C_{21}}{\beta} + \frac{C_{22}}{\beta} m_{\rho}(v) \rho^{n-2} \ge f(\rho) \ge \frac{C_{21}}{\beta} + C_{23}(\ln \rho)^{\frac{\beta}{C_{22}}},$$

where $C_{23} = \left(f(R_1) - \frac{C_{21}}{\alpha}\right) \left(\ln R_1\right)^{-\frac{\beta}{C_{22}}}$. As a result, we have

$$m_{\rho}(v) \ge C_{24} \rho^{2-n} (\ln \rho)^{\frac{\beta}{C_{22}}}.$$

Hence, by Harnack's inequality

$$v(x,t) \ge C_{24} |x|^{2-n} (\ln |x|)^{\frac{\beta}{C_{22}}}.$$

Since $u(x,t) \ge C_{18}v(x,t)$, for large |x| we obtain the following estimate for u(x,t):

$$u(x,t) \ge C_{25} |x|^{2-n} \left(\ln |x|\right)^{\frac{\beta}{C_{22}}}.$$
(21)

Taking the test function $\varphi(x)$ as before, and using the estimate (19) and b2), from the definition of the solution of equation (1) we obtain

$$\int_{Q_T^{R_0,2\rho}} h(x,t,u)\varphi dx \le C_1 + C_2 \rho^{n-2} \min_{Q_T^{\rho,2\rho}} u(x,t).$$
(22)

Let's estimate the left-hand side from below:

$$\begin{split} &\int_{Q_T^{R_0,2\rho}} h(x,t,u)\varphi dx dt \geq \int_{Q_T^{2R_0,\rho}} \frac{\tilde{h}(x,u)}{u} u dx dt \geq \\ &\geq \int_{Q_T^{2R_0,\rho}} \frac{\tilde{h}\left(x,C_{25} |x|^{2-n} (\ln|x|)^{\frac{\beta}{C_{22}}}\right)}{C_{25} |x|^{2-n} (\ln|x|)^{\frac{\beta}{C_{22}}}} u dx dt \geq \\ &\geq \int_{Q_T^{2R_0,\rho}} \tilde{h}\left(x,C_{25} |x|^{2-n} (\ln|x|)^{\frac{\beta}{C_{22}}}\right) dx dt \geq \\ &\geq C_{26} \int_{2R_0 < |x| < \rho} |x|^{-n} (\ln|x|)^{\frac{\beta}{C_{22}}\gamma - 1} dx \geq \\ &\geq C_{26} \int_{2R_0} r^{-n} (\ln r)^{\frac{\beta}{C_{22}}\gamma - 1} r^{n-1} dr = C_{26} (\ln r)^{\frac{\beta\gamma}{C_{22}}} \Big|_{2R_0}^{\rho} = \end{split}$$

The Absence of Positive Global Periodic Solution

$$= C_{26}(\ln \rho)^{\frac{\beta\gamma}{C_{22}}} - C_{26}(\ln(2R_0))^{\frac{\beta\gamma}{C_{22}}} \ge C_{26}(\ln \rho)^{\frac{\beta\gamma}{C_{22}}}.$$

As a result, from (22) we obtain

$$C_{27}(\ln \rho)^{\frac{\beta\gamma}{C_{22}}} \le C_1 + C_2 \rho^{n-2} \min_{Q_T^{\rho,2\rho}} u(x,t).$$

Hence, by Harnack's inequality, we obtain

$$u(x,t) \ge C_{28} |x|^{2-n} \left(\ln |x|\right)^{\frac{\beta\gamma}{C_{22}}}.$$
(23)

Doing the same, but this time using estimate (23), we get the following estimate:

$$u(x,t) \ge C_{28} |x|^{2-n} (\ln |x|)^{\frac{\beta \gamma^2}{C_{22}}}.$$

As a result, due to mathematical induction, we will have

$$u(x,t) \ge C_{29} |x|^{2-n} (\ln |x|)^{\frac{\beta \gamma^k}{C_{22}}},$$

where k is an arbitrary positive integer.

Since $\gamma > 1$, there exists k_0 such that $\frac{\beta \gamma^k}{C_{22}} > \sigma_2$ for $k \ge k_0$. Then it is obvious that

$$u(x,t) \ge C_{30} |x|^{2-n} (\ln |x|)^{\sigma_2}$$
(24)

for large |x|. Then using (24) and b3) we get the following:

$$0 = L_0 u + h(x, t, u) \ge L_0 u + \tilde{h}(x, u) = L_0 u + \frac{\tilde{h}(x, u)}{u} u \ge$$
$$\ge L_0 u + \frac{\tilde{h}\left(x, C_{30} |x|^{2-n} \ln |x|^{\sigma_2}\right)}{C_{30} |x|^{2-n} \ln |x|^{\sigma_2}} u \ge L_0 u + \frac{C_0 + \varepsilon}{|x|^2} u.$$

Thus, we have obtained that there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that u(x,t) is a super solution of the equation

$$L_0 u + \frac{C_0 + \varepsilon}{|x|^2} u = 0.$$

This contradicts Lemma 1. The proof of the theorem is finished.

Example 1. Consider the equation

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = div(A(x,t)\nabla u) + a_0(x,t) |u|^q$$

in Q, where q > 1, $a_0(x,t)$ is a T- periodic function in t and $a_0(x,t) \ge a_0 |x|^s \ln^{\theta} |x|$, s > -2. Here $\tilde{h}(x,u) = a_0 |x|^s \ln^{\theta} |x| |u|^q$ and it is clear that the condition a) is satisfied. Then for any $\tau > 0$

$$\tilde{h}(x,\tau |x|^{2-n}) |x|^n > a_0 \tau^q |x|^{s+(2-n)q+n} \ln^{\theta} |x| \ge C |x|^{s+2+(2-n)(q-1)} \ln^{\theta} |x|.$$

From this, it is clear that at 2 + s + (2 - n)(q - 1) > 0, $\theta \in R$ or 2 + s + (2 - n)(q - 1) = 0, $\theta > 0$ condition b) is satisfied and therefore a positive solution does not exist.

Let now $2 + s + (2 - n)(q - 1) = 0, -1 \le \theta \le 0$. First consider the case $-1 < \theta \le 0$. Let's check that in this case, b1), b3) are fulfilled. Since $-1 < \theta \le 0$, there exists $\sigma_1 \in (0, 1)$ such that $\sigma_1 + \theta > 0$. Then for any $\tau > 0$

$$\tilde{h}(x,\tau |x|^{2-n}) |x|^n \ln^{\sigma_1} |x| \ge C |x|^{s+2+(2-n)(q-1)} \ln^{\sigma_1+\theta} |x| = C \ln^{\sigma_1+\theta} |x|.$$

This means that b1) is fulfilled.

Since q > 1, there exists such $\sigma_2 > 0$ that $\sigma_2(q-1) > 1$. Then

$$\frac{\tilde{h}(x,\tau |x|^{2-n} \ln^{\sigma_2} |x|)}{\tau |x|^{-n} \ln^{\sigma_2} |x|} \ge C \ln^{\sigma_2(q-1)+\theta} |x|.$$

Due to the fact $\sigma_2(q-1+\theta > 0)$, it is clear that b3) is fulfilled. Hence, there are no positive solutions in this case either.

Let at last $\theta = -1$. Since q > 1, there exists $\gamma > 1$ such that $q - \gamma > 0$. Then for any $\tau > 0$, $\alpha > 0$, $\tilde{h}(x, \tau |x^{2-n}| \ln^{\alpha} |x|) |x|^n \ln^{-\gamma\alpha+1} \ge C \ln^{\alpha(q-\gamma)} |x| > 0$. This means that in this case, b2) is fulfilled. Similar to the previous one, it can be shown that b3) is also fulfilled. So again, there are no positive solutions either.

References

- S. Alarcon, J. Melian, A. Quaas, Optimal Liouville theorems for supersolutions of elliptic equations with the Laplacian, Ann Sc Norm Sup Pisa, 16, 2016, 129-158.
- [2] S. Armstrong, B. Sirakov, Sharp Liouville results for fully nonlinear equations with power-growth nonlinearities, Ann Sc Norm Sup Pisa, 10, 2011, 711-728.

- [3] Sh.G. Bagyrov, On the existence of a positive solution of second-order parabolic equations with time-periodic coefficients, Vestnik Moskovskogo Universiteta, Seriya 1, Matematika, Mekhanika, 2, 1996, 86–89.
- [4] Sh.G. Bagyrov, On the existence of a positive solution of a nonlinear secondorder parabolic equation with time-periodic coefficients, Differentsial'nye Uravneniya, 43(4), 2007, 562-565.
- [5] Sh.G. Bagyrov, Absence of positive solutions of a second-order semilinear parabolic equation with time-periodic coefficients, Differential Equations, 50(4), 2014, 1-6.
- [6] Sh.G. Bagyrov, On non-existence of positive periodic solution for second order semilinear parabolic equation, Azerbaijan J. of Math, 8(2), 2018, 163-180.
- [7] Sh.G. Bagyrov, Nonexistence of global positive solutions of weakly coupled systems of semilinear parabolic equations with time-periodic coefficients, Differential Equations, 56, 2020, 721–733.
- [8] A. Bahri, P.-L. Lions, On the existence of positive solutions of semilinear elliptic equations in unbounded domains, Ann. Inst. H. Poincare Anal. Non Lineaire, 14, 1997, 365-413.
- [9] P. Baras, J.A. Goldstein, *The heat equation with a singular potential*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, **284(1)**, 1984, 121-139.
- [10] A. Beltramo, P. Hess, On the principal eigenvalue of a periodic-parabolic operator, Communications in Partial Differential Equations, 9(9), 1984, 919-941.
- [11] M. Bidaut-V'eron, S.Pohozaev, Nonexistence results and estimates for some nonlinear elliptic problems, J D'Analyse Math, 84, 2001, 1-49.
- [12] H. Chen, R. Peng, F. Zhou, Nonexistence of positive super solution to a class of semilinear elliptic equations and systems in an exterior domain, Science China Mathematics, 63(7), 2019, 1-16.
- [13] K. Deng, H.A. Levine, The role of critical exponents in blow-up theorems: the sequel, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 243(1), 2000, 85-126.
- [14] M.J. Esteban, On periodic solutions of superlinear parabolic problems, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, 293(1), 1986, 171-189.

- [15] M.J. Esteban, A remark on the existence of positive periodic solutions of superlinear parabolic problems, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, 102(1), 1988, 131-136.
- [16] H. Fujita, On the blowing-up of solutions of the Cauchy problem for $u_t = \Delta u + u^{1+\alpha}$, J. Fac. Sci. Univ, Tokyo, Sect. I, **13**, 1966, 109-124.
- [17] B. Gidas, J. Spruck, Global and local behavior of positive solutions of linear elliptic equations, Comm. Pare. Appl. Math., 34, 1981, 525-598.
- [18] Y. Giga, N. Mizoguchi, On time periodic solutions of the Dirichlet problem for degenerate parabolic equations of nondivergence type, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 201(2), 1996, 396-416.
- [19] N. Hirano, N. Mizoguchi, Positive unstable periodic solutions for superlinear parabolic equations, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, 123(5), 1995, 1487-1495.
- [20] J. Huska, Periodic solutions in semilinear parabolic problems, Acta Math. Univ. Comenianae, LXXI(1), 2002, 19-26.
- [21] V. Kondratiev, V. Liskevich, Z. Sobol, Second-order semilinear elliptic inequalities in exterior domains, J. Differential Equations, 187, 2003, 429-455.
- [22] A.A. Kon'kov, On solutions of quasi-linear elliptic inequalities containing terms with lower-order derivatives, Nonlinear Anal., 90, 2013, 121-134.
- [23] V.V. Kurta, On the nonexistence of positive solutions to semilinear elliptic equations, Proceedings of the Steklov Institute of Mathematics, 227, 1999, 155-162.
- [24] H.A. Levine, The role of critical exponents in blowup theorems, SIAM Review, 32(2), 1990, 262-288.
- [25] V. Liskevich, I.I. Skrypnik, I.V. Skrypnik, Positive super-solutions to general nonlinear elliptic equations in exterior domains, Manuscripta Math., 115, 2004, 521-538.
- [26] E. Mitidieri, S. Pohozaev, Absence of positive solutions for quasilinear elliptic problems in RN, Proc. Steklov Inst. Math., 227, 1999, 186-216 (in Russian).
- [27] E. Mitidieri, S.Z. Pohozhayev, A priori estimations and no solutions of nonlinear partial equations and inequalities, Proc. of V.A. Steklov Mathematics Institute of NAS, 234, 2001, 1-383.

- [28] R.G. Pinsky, Existence and nonexistence of global solutions for $u_t = \Delta u + a(x) u^p$ in \mathbb{R}^d , Journal of Differential Equations, 133, 1997, 152-177.
- [29] P. Quittner, Multiple equilibria, periodic solutions and a priori bounds for solutions in superlinear parabolic problems, Nonlinear Differential Equations and Applications, 11(2), 2004, 237-258.
- [30] A.A. Samarskii, V.A. Galaktionov, S.P. Kurdyumov, A.P. Mikhaylov, Blow up of solutions in problems for quasilinear parabolic equations, Nauka, Moscow, 1987 (in Russian).
- [31] T.I. Seidman, Periodic solutions of a non-linear parabolic equation, Journal of Differential Equations, 19(2), 1975, 242-257.

Shirmayil G. Bagyrov Baku State University, Baku, Azerbaijan Institute of Mathematics and Mechanics Baku, Azerbaijan E-mail: sh_bagirov@yahoo.com

Received 18 May 2023 Accepted 21 August 2023